

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/614,296	MOTOYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shean C. Wu	1756	

**All Participants:**

(1) Shean C. Wu.

(2) Mr. Jacob.

**Status of Application: pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 December 2005

**Time:** 2:20 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*10 and 18*

Prior art documents discussed:


*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The formula (I) in claim 10 has been corrected as formula (I) submitted in the amendment (11/14/05). Also, the dependency of claim in Claim 18 has been changed to 17.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)